

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,515	09/29/2000	Vernon L. Crow	01413.0014	1587
7590 03/26/2004 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER, L. L. P.			EXAMINER	
			HAVAN, THU THAO	
3100 I Street, N	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	OC 20005-3315		2672	13
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/26/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Advisory Action	09/675,515	CROW ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of th ": (1) a timely filed amendn peal (with appeal fee); or (is application. A proper renent which places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set er than SIX MONTHS from the mai AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH e date on which the petition under 3 dension and the corresponding am ened statutory period for reply origined.	ling date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. OF CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exhault of the fee. The appropriate exhally set in the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appea	l by materially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding nui	mber of finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		een considered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed \$	SOLELY to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State		•	

10. Other: ____



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Further search is required for the amended limitation "the second visualization differs from the first visualization for the same data records based on the selected method".

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600